arXiv:0809.0058v3 [math.CV] 30 Jun 2010

WEIL-PETERSSON GEOMETRY FOR FAMILIES OF HYPERBOLIC CONICAL RIEMANN SURFACES

GEORG SCHUMACHER AND STEFANO TRAPANI

Abstract. We study the Weil-Petersson geometry for holomorphic families of Riemann Surfaces equipped with the unique conical metric of constant curvature -1.

1. Introduction

Recently hyperbolic structures on weighted punctured Riemann surfaces gained major attention. Hyperbolic metrics on weighted punctured Riemann surfaces by definition have conical singularities at the punctures, where the cone angles are between 0 and 2π, corresponding to weights between one and zero. Conical metrics of constant negative curvature (with fixed weights) induce new structures on the Teichmüller spaces of punctured Riemann surfaces. Tan, Wong and Zhang [28] showed the existence of corresponding Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates, proved a McShane identity for this case and investigated the induced symplectic structure. In this way they generalize results of Mirzakani [18] to this situation (cf. [5]). Conical metrics on punctured spheres were studied by Zograf and Takhtajan in [27], who introduce Kähler structures on the moduli spaces depending on cone angles in the context of Liouville actions. From the algebraic geometry point of view, Hassett [8] introduced a hierarchy of compactifications of the moduli space of punctured Riemann surfaces according to the assigned weights of the punctures. These spaces interpolate between the classical Deligne-Mumford compactifications of the moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces with and without punctures. Conical hyperbolic metrics had been studied by Heins [9], and constructed by McOwen [16] and Troyanov [31] using the method of Kazdhan and Warner [14].

By definition, a weighted punctured Riemann surface $(X, \mathbf{a})$ is a compact Riemann surface X together with an an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $\mathbf{a} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j p_j$ with weights $0 < a_j \leq 1$ at the punctures p_j. The necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a hyperbolic conical metric according to [16, 31] is that the statement of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem holds, i.e. the degree of $K_X + \mathbf{a}$ is positive, where K_X denotes the canonical divisor of X. In this case the cone angles are $2\pi(1 - a_j)$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32G15, 53C21, 14D22.

Key words and phrases. Hyperbolic cone metric, Riemann surface, weighted divisor, Weil-Petersson metric, holomorphic quadratic differentials,Teichmüller theory.

Our aim is to study the Weil-Petersson geometry in the conical case, and develop a theory parallel to the classical one. We show the existence of a Weil-Petersson Kähler form of class C^∞, which descends to to the moduli space. Let $\mathcal{X} \to S$ be the universal family, or any other holomorphic family of weighted punctured Riemann surfaces. It turns out that the classical Wolpert's formula, [32, Corollary 5.11], holds in our case as well, i.e. the Weil-Petersson form is the push forward of the form $2\pi^2 c_1({K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{S}}}^{-1}, g_{\mathbf{a}})$, where $({K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{S}}}^{-1}, g_{\mathbf{a}})$ is the relative anticanonical line bundle, equipped with the family of hyperbolic conical metrics on the fibers. From this we derive the Kähler property of the Weil-Petersson metric.

For rational weights the bundle $K_{\mathcal{X}/S} + \mathbf{a}$ defines a determinant line bundle on the base space S, which carries a Quillen metric according to the theorems of Quillen [20], Zograf-Takhdajan [26], and Bismut-Gillet-Soulé [4], once smooth metrics are chosen on $K_{\mathcal{X}/S} + \mathbf{a}$. We show that the conical metrics on the fibers induce a C^∞ metric on the determinant line bundle, which descends to the moduli space. As in the classical case, its curvature is the generalized Weil-Petersson form.

We also prove the formula for the curvature tensor of the Weil-Petersson metric for Riemann surfaces with conical singularities. In the classical case the curvature was computed in [21, 6, 32]. Our formula holds for the case of weights $> 1/2$, which is also the range, where Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates exist. It includes also the case of orbifold singularities of degree $m > 2$.

Although hyperbolic conical metrics are well understood from the standpoint of hyperbolic geometry, the dependence upon holomorphic parameters poses essential difficulties. For this reason it was necessary to introduce an ad-hoc definition of harmonic Beltrami differentials in our previous paper [24], on which a Weil-Petersson inner product could be based. Our present results are valid with no restrictions on the weights, in particular they include the interesting cases of weights between 1/2 and 1, which arise in the case of finite group quotients. Most results are known for cusps i.e. punctures with zero cone angle, however our approach seems to be only suitable for positive cone angles so that we avoid mixed cases.

Acknowledgement. The first named author would like to thank Inkang Kim for stimulating discussions. The authors would also like to thank the referee for his or her helpful comments.

2. Hyperbolic conical metrics

Let X be a compact Riemann surface with n punctures $p_1, \ldots .p_n$, and weights $0 < a_j \leq 1$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. We denote by $\mathbf{a} = \sum_j a_j p_j$ the corresponding $\mathbb{R}$-divisor and by $(X, \mathbf{a})$ the weighted punctured Riemann surface. We say that a hermitian metric of class C^∞ on the punctured Riemann surface, has a cone singularity of weight $\mathbf{a}$, if in a holomorphic local coordinate system centered at p_j the metric is of the form $(\rho(z)/|z|^{2a_j})|dz|^2$ for $0 < a_j < 1$, whereas it is of the form $(\rho(z)/|z|^2 \log^2(|1/z|^2))|dz|^2$ if $a_j = 1$. Here ρ is continuous at the puncture and positive. The cone angle is $2\pi(1-a_j)$, including the complete case with angle zero. Let K_X be the canonical divisor of X; the weighted punctured Riemann surface $(X, \mathbf{a})$ is called *stable*, if the the degree of the divisor $K_X + \mathbf{a}$ is positive. In this case, by a result of McOwen and Troyanov [16], [17]. [30], there exist a unique conical metric $g_{\mathbf{a}}$ on X in the given conformal class, which has constant curvature -1 and presribed cone angles. Moreover $\mathrm{Vol}(X, g_{\mathbf{a}})/\pi = \deg(K_X + \mathbf{a}) = -\chi(X, \mathbf{a})$. Where by definition $\chi(X, \mathbf{a}) = \chi(X) - \sum a_j$ is the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of the weighted punctured Riemann surface $(X, \mathbf{a})$.

At a non-complete conical puncture, we consider an emanating geodesic and see that on a neighborhood of the puncture the hyperbolic metric is isometric to a classical cone metric as obtained from the unit disk by removing a sector and identifying the resulting edges. So a posteriori a conical metric satisfies a somewhat stronger regularity condition than predicted in terms of the partial differential equation for hyperbolicity.

Remark 2.1. *Let* $(X, \mathbf{a})$ *be a weighted Riemann surface and* p_j *a puncture with* $0 < a_j < 1$ *for all* $1 \leq j \leq n$. *Then there exist a local coordinate function* z *near* p_j *such that* $g_{\mathbf{a}} = (\rho(z)/|z|^{2a_j})|dz|^2$, *where* $\rho(z) = \eta(|z|^{2(1-a_j)})$ *for some positive, real analytic function* η.

The dependence of the hyperbolic cone metrics on the weights is characterized as follows.

Proposition 2.2. *Let* $a_j(k)$ *be an increasing sequence of weights with* $\mathbb{R}$*-divisors* $\mathbf{a}(k)$ *on* X. *Suppose that* $\deg(K_X + \mathbf{a}(k)) > 0$ *for all* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *and that* $a_j(k) \to a_j$, *as* $k \to \infty$. *Then* $g_{\mathbf{a}(k)}$ *converges to* $g_{\mathbf{a}}$ *uniformly on compact sets away from the punctures. Moreover the sequence of functions* $g_{\mathbf{a}(k)}/g_{\mathbf{a}}$, *converges to the constant function* 1 *in* $L^1(X, g_{\mathbf{a}})$.

Proof. In Proposition 2.4 in [24] we defined $\Psi_k = g_{\mathbf{a}(k)}/g_{\mathbf{a}}$, then $0 < \Psi_k \leq \Psi_{k+1} \leq 1$ as we proved there, and $-\log(\Psi_k)$ is a decreasing sequence of subharmonic functions on the complement of the punctures. Therefore $-\log(\Psi_k)$ converges pointwise to a subharmonic function $\delta \geq 0$ on the complement of the punctures. By Proposition 2.5 in [24], the function δ is identically equal to 0 in a neighborhood of each puncture p_j with $a_j < 1$. Moreover if $a_j < 1$ for all j then $\delta \equiv 0$ and the convergence is uniform on compact sets by Dini's lemma. (Observe that the argument in the proof of Proposition 2.5 in [24] is local). Suppose that $a_{j_0} = 1$ for some j_0, and consider the functions $\delta_k = -\log(\Psi_k) + (1 - a(k)_{j_0}) \log(|z|^2)$, on an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_{j_0}$ of p_{j_0}. By the local expression of each function Ψ_k near p_{j_0}, we have that the functions δ_k are subharmonic and uniformly bounded from above, so each function δ_k extends to a subharmonic function on $\mathcal{U}_{j_0}$, moreover the function δ' which is the upper semi-continuous envelope of $\limsup \delta_k$ is also subharmonic on $\mathcal{U}_{j_0}$, (cf. [11]). Hence $\delta = \delta'$ on $\mathcal{U}_{j_0} \backslash \{0\}$. In other words the function δ extends to a subharmonic function on all of X, therefore $\delta \equiv c$ is constant. By the dominated convergence theorem the sequence Ψ_k converges to e^{-c} in $L^1(X, g_{\mathbf{a}})$. Since $\mathrm{Vol}(g_{\mathbf{a}(k)})$ converges to $\mathrm{Vol}(g_{\mathbf{a}})$, we have $e^{-c} = 1$. $\square$

We consider the classical Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{\gamma,n}$ of (marked) Riemann surfaces of genus γ with punctures $p_1, \ldots, p_n$. We denote by $\Pi : \mathcal{X}_{\gamma,n} \to \mathcal{T}_{\gamma,n}$ the universal family. The punctures on the fibers $\mathcal{X}_s = \Pi^{-1}(s)$ are given by n holomorphic sections $\sigma_1(s), \ldots, \sigma_n(s)$; $s \in \mathcal{T}_{\gamma,n}$, where for all s the values are pairwise distinct. Constant weights $0 < a_j \leq 1$ are assigned to the $\sigma_j(s)$, and the corresponding real divisors are denoted by $\mathbf{a}(s) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \sigma_j(s)$. The resulting family of weighted punctured surfaces is denoted by $\Pi : (\mathcal{X}_{\gamma,n}, \mathbf{a}) \to \mathcal{T}_{\gamma,n}$. We assume that the fibers are stable and endowed with the hyperbolic conical metrics $g_{\mathbf{a}}(s)$. The complete case of weights one is well-understood, and since the essential arguments will be local, we may assume that for all weights $0 < a_j < 1$ holds.

We will show that the conical hyperbolic metrics define new Kähler structures on the Teichmüller and moduli spaces of punctured Riemann surfaces depending on the assigned weights.

For short we will write $\Pi : \mathcal{X} \to S$ for any holomorphic family of punctured Riemann surfaces over a complex manifold S with holomorphic sections $\sigma_i(s)$. Our arguments will be local with respect to the base.

When considering the variation of conical metrics and defining the induced hermitian structure on the Teichmüller space, we may assume that $S = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| < 1\}$.

Denote by X the central fiber $\mathcal{X}_0$. In order to introduce Sobolev spaces, and to use the theory of elliptic equations depending upon parameters [2], we need to fix a differentiable trivialization of the family. Our method of choice is the following:

After shrinking S if necessary, on neighborhoods of each holomorphic section σ_j in $\mathcal{X}$ we take holomorphic coordinates $W_j \equiv \mathcal{U}_j \times S = \{(z, s)\}$ such that $\sigma_j(s) \equiv 0$. Assuming that these coordinates also exist on slightly larger neighborhoods we can use a differentiable trivialization $\Psi : \mathcal{X} \to X \times S$, which is holomorphic on W_j and respects the above coordinates. The map Ψ defines a differentiable lift

$$V_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + b_1(z, s)\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + b_2(z, s)\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}}$$

of the vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ on S, such that $V_0 | W_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$. We introduce Sobolev spaces $H^p_k(\mathcal{X}_s)$ defined with respect to the measure induces by a smooth family $g_0(s)$ of differentiable background metrics. We identify $H^p_k(\mathcal{X}_s)$ with $H^p_k(X)$ by the above differentiable trivialization.

Set

$$g_{\mathbf{a}} = e^u g_0$$

where $g_{\mathbf{a}}(s) = g_{\mathbf{a}}(s,z)|dz|^2$ and $g_0(s) = g_0(s,z)|dz|^2$ in local coordinates. The functions u carry the singularities.

Like in [24], section 4, for $1 \leq j \leq n$ we introduce a function $\Psi_j(z,s)$ which is smooth on the complement of the punctures, and of the form $\Psi_j = -\log(|z|^2|)$ on $\mathcal{U}_j$. (Here we use our assumption that $\sigma_j(s) \equiv 0$.) Let us define

$$w(z,s) = u - \sum_j a_j \Psi_j.$$

Let $\Delta = \frac{1}{g_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial z \partial \overline{z}}$ denote the laplacian with respect to the smooth background metric g_0. Then the equation for hyperbolicity reads

$$\Delta u - e^u = K_{g_0} \tag{1}$$

where K_{g_0} is the Ricci curvature of g_0, i.e.

$$K_{g_0}(s,z) = -\frac{1}{g_0(s,z)} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \log(g_0)}{\partial z \partial \overline{z}}.$$

Now equation (1) reads:

$$\Delta w - (e^{\sum_i a_i \Psi_i}) e^w = K - \Delta(\sum_i a_i \Psi_i),$$

and on $\mathcal{U}_j$ it is of the form

$$\Delta w - e^{M(z)} \frac{e^w}{|z|^{2a_j}} = K,$$

where the function $M(z) = \sum_{i \neq j} a_i \Psi_i$ is smooth and bounded on $\mathcal{U}_j$.

It follows that $w(s) \in H^p_2(\mathcal{X}_s)$ for all $1 \leq p < \min(1/a_j)$ (cf. [16]), and by standard regularity theory the solutions are of class C^∞ on the complement of the punctures.

Our aim is to show that the conical metrics depend differentiably on the parameters in a suitable sense. Given a family $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{a}) \to S$, we write the hyperbolic metrics as

$$g_{\mathbf{a}} = \exp(a_1\Psi_1 + \ldots + a_n\Psi_n + w)\, g_0$$

and fix a differentiable trivialization $\mathcal{X} \to X \times S$ in the above sense.

Theorem 2.3. *Fix a real number $1 \leq p < \min(1/a_j)$. Then the assignment $s \mapsto w(s)$ defines a map $w : S \to H^p_2(X)$ which is of class C^∞, i.e. all higher derivatives of w with respect to V_0 and $\overline{V_0}$ exist in $H^p_2(X)$ and depend in a C^∞ way on s. In particular, since $H^p_2(X) \subseteq C^0(X)$, for any fixed $z \in X$, the functions $s \mapsto w(z,s)$ is of class C^∞.*

Proof. Since the argument is local, we may assume $n = 1$ for simplicity. We define a C^1 map $\Phi : S \times H_2^p(X) \to L^p(X)$, by

$$\Phi(s, w) = \Delta_{g_0(s)}(w) - e^{a\Psi(s)}e^w - K_{g_0(s)} + a\Delta_{g_0(s)}(\Psi(s)).$$

It is important to note that the given trivialization is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the punctures and that $\Psi(z, s) = -\log(|z|^2)$ does not depend on s. Therefore the map Φ is of class C^1. We now indicate how to compute $(D_1\Phi)(s_0, w_0) \in L^p(X)$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}(D_1\Phi)(s_0, w_0) \quad = \quad & \frac{-\partial \log g_0(s_0)}{\partial s}\Delta_{g_0(s_0)}(w_0) - a\frac{\partial \Psi(s_0)}{\partial s}e^{a\Psi(s_0)}e^{w_0} \\ & -\frac{\partial K_{g_0}(s_0)}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(\Delta_{g_0(s_0)}(\Psi(s, -))\right).\end{aligned}$$

Note that the above function belongs to $L^p(X)$ since $\Delta_{g(s_0)}(w_0) \in L^p(X)$ and $\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial s} = \Delta_{g(s_0)}(\Psi) \equiv 0$ near the puncture, for all $s \in S$. Moreover both of the functions $\left.\frac{\partial \log g_0}{\partial s}\right|_{s_0}$ and $\left.\frac{\partial K_{g_0}}{\partial s}\right|_{s_0}$ are bounded. Now

$$(D_2\Phi)(s_0, w_0)(W) : H_2^p(X) \to L^p(X)$$

is given by

$$(D_2\Phi)(s_0, w_0)(W) = \Delta_{g_0(s_0)}(W) - e^{a\Psi(s_0)}e^{w_0}W.$$

Because of [24, Lemma 2.1], the implicit function theorem is applicable. Since all derivatives of $e^{a\Psi}$ with respect to s and $\bar{s}$ are in $L^p(X)$, it is possible to repeat the argument, so that one can show the rest of the statement. ☐

Remark 2.4. *The above methods can also be used to show that an analogous statement is true for the dependence of conical metrics on the weights, provided these are less than one. For* $\mathbf{a} = \sum p_j$ *we have the statement of Proposition 2.2.*

3. The generalized Weil-Petersson metric

The classical Weil-Petersson metric is defined as the L^2-inner product of harmonic Beltrami differentials with respect to the hyperbolic metrics on the fibers.

For reasons, which will become apparent later, we first introduce the Weil-Petersson metric on the cotangent space.

Let $(X, \mathbf{a})$ be a weighted punctured Riemann surface with $\mathbf{a} = \sum a_j p_j$. We set $D = \sum p_j$ and denote by

$$H^0(X, \Omega^2{}_{(X,\mathbf{a})}) = H^0(X, \Omega_X^2(D))$$

the space of holomorphic quadratic differentials with at most simple poles at the punctures, identified with the cotangent space of the corresponding Teichmüller space of punctured Riemann surfaces at the given point.

Definition 3.1. *The Weil-Petersson inner product*

$$G^*_{WP,\mathbf{a}} \text{ on } H^0(X, \Omega^2{}_{(X,\mathbf{a})})$$

is given by

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle_{WP,\mathbf{a}} = \int_X \frac{\phi\overline{\psi}}{g_{\mathbf{a}}^2} dA_{\mathbf{a}},$$

where $g_{\mathbf{a}}$ is the hyperbolic conical metric, with surface element $dA_{\mathbf{a}}$.

Observe that the above integrals are finite, because $0 \leq a_j \leq 1$ for all i.

The Weil-Petersson inner products depend continuously on the weights, if these are less than one (cf. Remark 2.4), and under the hypotheses of Proposition 2.2 we have the following statement.

Corollary 3.2. *Let*

$$\phi \in H^0(X, \Omega^2{}_{(X,\mathbf{a})}),$$

then

$$\lim_k |\phi|^2_{WP, g_{\mathbf{a}(k)}} = |\phi|^2_{WP, g_{\mathbf{a}}}.$$

Proof. Fix a reference smooth metric g_0 on X. Then $|\phi|^2/g_{\mathbf{a}(k)}$ is a decreasing sequence of g_0 integrable positive functions converging to $|\phi|^2/g_{\mathbf{a}}$. □

Observe that harmonicity of Beltrami differentials in the first place means that a certain partial differential equation holds. In the case of compact Riemann surfaces (and punctured surfaces equipped with complete hyperbolic metrics) L^2-theory implies that any Beltrami differential has a unique harmonic representative, which is the quotient of a conjugate holomorphic quadratic differential by the metric tensor.

We use an ad hoc definition of the space of *harmonic Beltrami differentials* for $(X, \mathbf{a})$ with respect to the hyperbolic conical metric $g_{\mathbf{a}}$, which coincides with the usual definition in the classical case of weights one. Let $X' = X \backslash \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$.

Definition 3.3. *Let $g_{\mathbf{a}} = g_{\mathbf{a}}(z) dz\overline{dz}$ be the hyperbolic conical metric on $(X, \mathbf{a})$. If $\phi = \phi(z)dz^2 \in H^0(X, \Omega^2{}_{(X,\mathbf{a})})$ is a quadratic holomorphic differential, we call the Beltrami differential*

$$\mu = \mu(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \overline{dz} = \frac{\overline{\phi(z)}}{g_{\mathbf{a}}(z)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \overline{dz},$$

on X' harmonic *on $(X, \mathbf{a})$ and denote the vector space of all such differentials by $H^1(X, \mathbf{a})$.*

Proposition 3.4. *For $0 < a_j < 1$ the space of harmonic Beltrami differentials $H^1(X, \mathbf{a})$ on $(X, \mathbf{a})$ can be identified with the cohomology $H^1(X, \Theta_X(-D))$, where Θ_X is the sheaf of holomorphic vector fields on X and $D = \sum_j p_j$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to verify that a duality

$$\Phi : H^0(X, \Omega^2{}_{(X,\mathbf{a})}) \times H^1(X, \mathbf{a}) \to \mathbb{C}.$$

is defined by

$$\Phi\left(\phi(z)dz^2, \mu(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\overline{dz}\right) = \int_X \phi(z)\mu(z)dzd\overline{z}.$$

□

The Weil-Petersson metric on the cotangent space to $\mathcal{T}_{\gamma,n}$ together with the above duality defines a Weil-Petersson metric $G_{WP,\mathbf{a}}$ on the tangent space identified with $H^1(X, \mathbf{a})$.

Let μ_1, and μ_2 in $H^1(X, \mathbf{a})$, then

$$\langle \mu_1, \mu_2 \rangle_{WP,\mathbf{a}} = \int_X \mu_1 \overline{\mu_2} dA_{\mathbf{a}}.$$

(cf. [24, Lemma 3.4.]).

If $1/2 \leq a_j \leq 1$ then the Fenchel-Nielsen coordates can be defined, [28], it is shown in [3] that in this case the Fenchel-Nielsen symplectic form coincides with the Weil-Pertersson Kähler form. The generalized Weil-Petersson metric can be defined on the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{\gamma,n}$ of surfaces of genus γ with n punctures. From Proposition 2.4 of [24] we know that if $\mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{b}$ then $g_{\mathbf{a}} \leq g_{\mathbf{b}}$, hence $G^*_{WP,\mathbf{b}} \leq G^*_{WP,\mathbf{a}}$, and for the metrics on the dual spaces we have $G_{WP,\mathbf{a}} \leq G_{WP,\mathbf{b}}$. Therefore, if $\mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{b}$, the identity map from $(\mathcal{T}_{\gamma,n}, G_{WP,\mathbf{b}})$ to $(\mathcal{T}_{\gamma,n}, G_{WP,\mathbf{a}})$ is distance decreasing.

Since the conical metrics are intrinsically defined on the fibers, the classical mapping class group $\Gamma_{\gamma,n}$ acts on Teichmüller spaces as a group of isometries for both the classical and the generalized Weil-Petersson metrics, hence also the generalized Weil-Petersson metric descends to $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma,n}$. Let us define $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\gamma,\mathbf{a}}$ as the completion of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma,n}$ with respect to the distance defined by the generalized metric. Therefore the identity map descends to a distance decreasing map of the moduli spaces, and such a map extends to a continuous map

$$j_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{a}} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\gamma,\mathbf{b}} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\gamma,\mathbf{a}}.$$

Moreover let $\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{b}'')$, and $\mathbf{b}^* = (\mathbf{b}', 0)$ where $\mathbf{b}' \in [0,1]^m$. Denote by $F : \mathcal{T}_{\gamma,n} \to \mathcal{T}_{\gamma,m}$ the holomorphic map, which forgets the punctures $\mathbf{b}''$. Then by [24, Theorem 3.5] $G_{WP,\mathbf{b}^*}$ coincides with the degenerate metric $F^*(G_{WP,\mathbf{b}'})$. The map

$$F : (\mathcal{M}_{\gamma,n}, F^*(G_{WP,\mathbf{b}'})) \to (\mathcal{M}_{\gamma,m}, G_{WP,\mathbf{b}'})$$

is also obviously (psudo)distance decreasing, and since $\mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{b}^*$, so is the map $F = F \circ id : (\mathcal{M}_{\gamma,n}, G_{WP,\mathbf{b}})) \to (\mathcal{M}_{\gamma,m}, G_{WP,\mathbf{b}'})$.

Therefore we also have the continuous map forgetting punctures

$$F_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{b}'} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\gamma,\mathbf{b}} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\gamma,\mathbf{b}'}.$$

Corollary 3.5. *The space* $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\gamma,\mathbf{a}}$ *is a compactification of the moduli space* $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma,n}$*. In particular the generalized Weil-Petersson metric is not complete.*

Proof. The usual Deligne-Mumford compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma,n}$ is the quotient by the mapping class group of the Weil-Petersson metric completion of Teichmüller space, see for example [15], [33], hence it is the completion of $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma,n}$. Therefore if $\mathbf{1} = (1, \ldots, 1)$, then $j_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{a}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\gamma,\mathbf{1}}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\gamma,\mathbf{a}}$, is compact and dense, so that the map $j_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{a}}$ is onto and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\gamma,\mathbf{a}}$ is compact. ☐

4. The Kodaira-Spencer map and conical metrics

First, we briefly describe the close relationship of variations of hyperbolic metrics and harmonic Beltrami differentials in the classical case of holomorphic families of compact Riemann manifolds (cf. also [22]).

Let $f : \mathcal{X} \to S$ be such a family. Let $s_0 \in S$ be a distinguished point and $X = f^{-1}(s_0)$ its fiber. The map induces a short exact sequence involving the sheaf $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ of holomorphic vector fields in fiber direction, the sheaf of holomorphic vector fields $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}}$ on the total space and the corresponding pull-back:

$$0 \to \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}/S} \to \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}} \to f^*\mathcal{T}_S \to 0.$$

The connecting homomorphism

$$\rho : T_{s_0} \to H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$$

is the Kodaira-Spencer map, which in fact assigns to a tangent vector the cohomology class of the corresponding Beltrami differential.

In terms of Dolbeault cohomology, this map can be described as follows: Let $\partial/\partial s$ stand for a tangent vector on the base at s_0. Let V be any differentiable lift of the tangent vector to the total space $\mathcal{X}$ (along the fiber X).

Proposition 4.1. *The restriction* $\overline{\partial} V|X$ *is* $\overline{\partial}$*-closed and represents* $\rho(\partial/\partial s|_{s_0})$*.*

Now the fibers $\mathcal{X}_s$ of the family are equipped with the hyperbolic metrics $g(z,s)|dz|^2$, which depend in a differentiable way on the parameter s. The collection of these metrics is considered a relative volume form on the total space $\mathcal{X}$, its dual is a hermitian metric on the relative canonical bundle $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}/S}$. Let

$$\omega_{\mathcal{X}} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \partial_{\mathcal{X}} \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{X}} \log(g(z,s))$$

be its curvature form.

Lemma 4.2. *The restrictions of* $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ *to the fibers* $\mathcal{X}_s$ *equal the Kähler forms* $\omega_{\mathcal{X}_s} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} g(z,s) dz \wedge \overline{dz}$*.*

In particular the real $(1,1)$-form $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ is positive definite along the fibers. So the *horizontal lift* V_{hor} of $\partial/\partial s$, which by definition consists of tangent vectors that are perpendicular to the fibers and project to the given tangent vector, is well-defined:

Lemma 4.3.

$$V_{hor} = \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right|_{s_0} + a^z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

with

$$a^z = -\frac{1}{g}\frac{\partial^2 \log g(z,s_0)}{\partial s \partial \overline{z}}.$$

The Lemma follows immediately from the computation of the inner product of V_{hor} and $\partial/\partial z$ with respect to $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$.

So far general theory implies the following:

Proposition 4.4. *The harmonic Beltrami differential corresponding to the tangent vector* $\partial/\partial s|_{s_0}$ *is induced by the horizontal lift. It equals*

$$\mu = \mu(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\, d\overline{z} = \frac{\partial a^z}{\partial \overline{z}}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\, d\overline{z} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}}\left(\frac{1}{g}\frac{\partial^2 \log g(z,s_0)}{\partial s \partial \overline{z}}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\, d\overline{z}.$$

In fact, a straightforward verification shows that $g(z,s_0)\overline{\mu(z)}$ is a holomorphic quadratic differential, i.e. μ is *harmonic* with respect to the hyperbolic metric on X.

Now let $(\mathcal{X},\mathbf{a}) \to S$ be a holomorphic family of weighted Riemann surfaces with $0 < a_j < 1$, and with central fiber $X = \mathcal{X}_{s_0}$, $s_0 \in S$. This section is concerned with how to recover the Kodaira-Spencer map $\rho : T_{s_0}(S) \to H^1(X,\mathbf{a})$ from the family of conical hyperbolic metrics $g_{\mathbf{a}}$.

In the case of conical hyperbolic metrics we define the Beltrami differential given by

$$\mu_{\mathbf{a}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}}\left(\frac{1}{g_{\mathbf{a}}}\frac{\partial^2 \log g_{\mathbf{a}}}{\partial \overline{z}\, \partial s}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\, d\overline{z}. \tag{2}$$

and the quadratic differential $\phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}) = g_{\mathbf{a}}\overline{\mu_{\mathbf{a}}}(\frac{\partial}{\partial s})$.

In order to prove that the above Beltrami differential $\mu_{\mathbf{a}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)$ is harmonic in the sense of Definition 3.3 it is sufficient to show the following:

Lemma 4.5. $\phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\frac{\partial}{\partial s})$ *is in* $L^1(X)$.

Proof. Again we use the special coordinates for the family near the punctures. For simplicity we assume $n = 1$ and set $0 < a = a_1 < 1$, $g_a = g_{\mathbf{a}}$. We have

$$\phi_{\mathbf{a}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) = \frac{\partial \log g_a}{\partial z}\cdot\frac{\partial^2 \log g_a}{\partial z\, \partial \overline{s}} - \frac{\partial^3 \log g_a}{\partial z^2\, \partial \overline{s}}.$$

Moreover in local coordinates the following equation holds:

$$\log(g_a) = \log(g_0) + w - a\log(|z|^2). \tag{3}$$

Now by Theorem 2.3 we have for $1 \leq p < \frac{1}{a}$ that

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \bar{s} \partial z} \in H_1^p(\mathcal{U}_1)$$

whereas

$$\frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial \bar{s} \partial z^2} \in L^p(\mathcal{U}_1).$$

Therefore by equation (3)

$$\frac{\partial^3 \log g_{\mathbf{a}}}{\partial z^2 \, \partial \overline{s}} \in L^1(\mathcal{U}_1).$$

Moreover $1/z \in L^q(\mathcal{U}_1)$ therefore

$$\frac{\partial \log g_{\mathbf{a}}}{\partial z} \in L^q(\mathcal{U}_1) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq q < 2 \; .$$

By the Sobolev embedding theorem $H_1^p(\mathcal{U}_1) \subseteq L^h(\mathcal{U}_1)$ for all $h < p'$, where $p' = \frac{2p}{2-p}$ for $1 \leq p < 2$ and $p' = \infty$ for $p \geq 2$.

If follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \log g_{\mathbf{a}}}{\partial z \, \partial \overline{s}} \; &\in \; L^h(\mathcal{U}_1) \; \text{ for } \; 1 \leq h < \infty \; \text{ if } \; 0 < a \leq 1/2 \\ \text{and} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \log g_{\mathbf{a}}}{\partial z \, \partial \overline{s}} \; &\in \; L^h(\mathcal{U}_1) \; \text{ for } \; 1 \leq h < \frac{1}{a - 1/2} > 2 \; \text{ if } \; 1/2 < a < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for $0 < a < 1$

$$\frac{\partial \log g_{\mathbf{a}}}{\partial z} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \log g_{\mathbf{a}}}{\partial z \, \partial \overline{s}} \in L^1(\mathcal{U}_1).$$

□

So far we only showed that, on one hand $H^1(X, \mathbf{a})$ is the space of infinitesimal deformations, and that on the other hand, the variation of hyperbolic conical metrics gives rise to element of this space according to (2). If this assignment is injective for effective families, then we recovered the Kodaira-Spencer map.

Theorem 4.6. *The Kodaira-Spencer map $\rho : T_{s_0} S \to H^1(X, \mathbf{a})$ is given by*

$$\rho \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right) = \mu_{\mathbf{a}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right) = - \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} \left(\frac{1}{g_{\mathbf{a}}} \frac{\partial^2 \log(g_{\mathbf{a}}(z,s))}{\partial \overline{z} \, \partial s} \right) \Big|_{s=s_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \, d\overline{z}$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ stands for a tangent vector.

Proof. We may assume that S is a disk and that we only have one puncture. If $0 < a < 1/2$ the proof of the Theorem is given in [24, Theorem 5.4], so we suppose $1/2 \leq a < 1$. Let $\mu_{\mathbf{a}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right) \equiv 0$. Then the locally defined quantity $\frac{1}{g_a}\frac{\partial^2 \log(g_a(z,s))}{\partial\overline{z}\ \partial s}\Big|_{s=s_0}$ is holomorphic outside the punctures, and the vector field

$$W_{s_0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + \gamma(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} - \left(\frac{1}{g_a}\frac{\partial^2 \log(g_a(z,s))}{\partial\overline{z}\ \partial s}\Bigg|_{s=s_0} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

is a lift of the tangent vector $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ which is holomorphic outside the punctures. We know from the proof of Lemma 4.5 that $\frac{\partial^2 \log(g_a(z,s))}{\partial\overline{z}\ \partial s}\Big|_{s=s_0}$ is in $H^p_1(\mathcal{U}_1) \subseteq L^2(\mathcal{U}_1)$ for some $p > 1$. Since $\frac{1}{g_a}$ is bounded, the function $\frac{1}{g_a}\frac{\partial^2 \log(g_a(z,s))}{\partial\overline{z}\ \partial s}\Big|_{s=s_0}$ is also in $L^2(\mathcal{U}_1)$, hence the vector field is holomorphic on the compact surface. So the holomorphic structure of the corresponding compact Riemann surfaces is infinitesimally constant. However, the puncture need not be kept fixed. Given the choice of local coordinates, we need to show that the vector field W_{s_0} equals $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ at $z = 0$. We already observed that $\frac{\partial^2 \log(g_a(z,s))}{\partial\overline{z}\ \partial s}\Big|_{s=s_0} = \frac{\rho(z)}{|z|^{2a}}\gamma(z)$ is in $L^2(\mathcal{U}_1)$, however for $1/2 \leq a < 1$ the function $\frac{1}{|z|^{2a}}$ is not in $L^2(\mathcal{U}_1)$, hence $\gamma(s_0) = 0$. □

5. Horizontal lifts of tangent vectors

Let $f : (\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{a}) \to S$ be the universal holomorphic family of weighted Riemann surfaces over the Teichmüller space, or for computational purposes, a family over the disk. Observe that like in the classical case the family of conical metrics will give rise to a C^∞ closed, real $(1,1)$-form

$$\omega_{\mathcal{X}} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\partial_{\mathcal{X}}\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{X}} \log(g_{\mathbf{a}})$$

on the complement of the punctures, which is positive, when restricted to the fibers.

Assume that $1 < a_j < 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $S = \{s \in \mathbb{C}; |s| < 1\}$ and denote by $X = \mathcal{X}_0$ the central fiber. As in Section 2 we use a differentiable trivialization of the family so that the Sobolev spaces of the fibers can be identified.

We will denote the coefficients of $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ by

$$g_{\mathbf{a}s\overline{s}} = \frac{\partial^2 \log g_{\mathbf{a}}(z,s)}{\partial s \partial\overline{s}} \tag{4}$$

$$g_{\mathbf{a}s\overline{z}} = \frac{\partial^2 \log g_{\mathbf{a}}(z,s)}{\partial s \partial\overline{z}} \tag{5}$$

$$g_{\mathbf{a}z\overline{s}} = \frac{\partial^2 \log g_{\mathbf{a}}(z,s)}{\partial z \partial\overline{s}} \tag{6}$$

$$g_{\mathbf{a}z\overline{z}} = \frac{\partial^2 \log g_{\mathbf{a}}(z,s)}{\partial z \partial\overline{z}}. \tag{7}$$

As pointed out above, hyperbolicity translates into

$$g_{\mathbf{a}z\bar{z}} = g_{\mathbf{a}}. \tag{8}$$

Like in Lemma 4.3 we have that the horizontal lift of $\partial/\partial s$ is given by

$$V = (\partial/\partial s) + a^z(z)(\partial/\partial z)$$

with

$$a^z = \frac{-1}{g_{\mathbf{a}}} g_{\mathbf{a}s\bar{z}}. \tag{9}$$

The function

$$\chi = g_{\mathbf{a}s\bar{s}} - \frac{1}{g_{\mathbf{a}}} g_{\mathbf{a}s\bar{z}}\; g_{\mathbf{a}z\bar{s}} = g_{\mathbf{a}s\bar{s}} - g_{\mathbf{a}} a^z(z)\overline{a^z(z)} \tag{10}$$

has various geometric meanings:

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\mu_{\mathbf{a}} \in H^1(\mathcal{X}_{s_0}, \mathbf{a})$ be the harmonic Beltrami differential according to* (2)*. Then*

$$\chi = \|V\|^2_{\omega_{\mathcal{X}}} \tag{11}$$

$$\omega^2_{\mathcal{X}} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\right)^2 \chi(z,s) g_{\mathbf{a}}(z,s) dz \wedge d\bar{z} \wedge ds \wedge d\bar{s}. \tag{12}$$

$$|\mu_{\mathbf{a}}|^2 = (-\Delta_{g_{\mathbf{a}}} + id)\,\chi \tag{13}$$

Proof. For simplicity we will drop the index $\mathbf{a}$ and we set $\partial_s = \partial/\partial s$ and $\partial_z = \partial/\partial z$ etc. The first claim follows from

$$\|V\|^2_{\omega_{\mathcal{X}}} = \langle \partial_s + a^z\partial_z, \partial_s + a^z\partial_z\rangle = g_{s\bar{s}} + a^z g_{z\bar{s}} + \overline{a^z} g_{s\bar{z}} + a^z\overline{a^z} g_{z\bar{z}}$$

by (9) and (8). Equation (12) follows from

$$\chi \cdot g = \chi \cdot g_{z\bar{z}} = \det \begin{pmatrix} g_{s\bar{s}} & g_{s\bar{z}} \\ g_{\bar{z}s} & g_{z\bar{z}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The proof of (13) will require some preparations. □

In order to compute integrals over the fibers involving certain tensors, we will use covariant differentiation with respect to the hyperbolic metrics on the fibers and use the semi-colon notation. For derivatives in s-direction we will use the flat connection.

First, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} g^2 \cdot g_{s\bar{s}} = g^2 \cdot (\log g)_{;s\bar{s}} &= g \cdot g_{;s\bar{s}} - g_{;s} g_{;\bar{s}} = g \cdot g_{;s\bar{s}} - g_{z\bar{z};s} g_{z\bar{z};\bar{s}} \\ &= g \cdot g_{;s\bar{s}} - g_{s\bar{z};z} g_{z\bar{s};\bar{z}} = g \cdot g_{;s\bar{s}} - g^2 \cdot a^z_{\ ;z}\overline{a^z}_{\ ;\bar{z}} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\frac{1}{g} g_{;s\bar{s}} = g_{s\bar{s}} + a^z_{\ ;z}\overline{a^z}_{\ ;\bar{z}}.$$

We combine this with

$$g_{s\bar{s};z\bar{z}} = (\log g)_{;s\bar{s}z\bar{z}} = (\log g)_{;z\bar{z}s\bar{s}} = g_{;s\bar{s}}$$

and get

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_g(\chi) &= \frac{1}{g}(g_{s\overline{s}} - g\cdot a^z\overline{a^z})_{;z\overline{z}} = \frac{1}{g}g_{;s\overline{s}} - (a^z\overline{a^z})_{;z\overline{z}}\\ &= g_{s\overline{s}} - a^z_{\;;\overline{z}}\overline{a^z}_{\;;z} - a^z_{\;z\overline{z}}\overline{a^z} - a^z\overline{a^z}_{\;;z\overline{z}}.\end{aligned}$$

We know that

$$\mu(z) = a^z_{\;;\overline{z}},$$

hence

$$\overline{a^z}_{\;;z\overline{z}} = \overline{\mu(z)}_{;\overline{z}} = \left(\frac{\varphi(z)}{g}\right)_{;\overline{z}} = 0,$$

where φ is some holomorphic quadratic differential. Furthermore in terms of the curvature tensor $R^z_{\;zz\overline{z}}$ and Ricci tensor $R_{z\overline{z}} = -g$ resp.

$$a^z_{\;z\overline{z}} = a^z_{\;\overline{z}z} + a^z R^z_{\;zz\overline{z}} = \overline{\mu}_{;z} + a^z(-R_{z\overline{z}}) = g\cdot a^z.$$

So

$$\Delta_g(\chi) = \chi - |\mu|^2$$

which ends the proof of the Proposition.

The equations are so far established on the complement of the punctures.

Lemma 5.2. *Let* $h_0 = \min_j(\frac{1}{1-a_j})$ *and* $q_0 = \min\left(\min_j(\frac{1}{a_j}), \min_j(\frac{1}{1-a_j})\right)$. *Then*

- (i) $\frac{|\mu|^2 g_{\mathbf{a}}}{g_0} \in L^h(\mathcal{X}_{s_0})$ *for* $1 \le h < h_0$.
- (ii) $\chi \in H^q_2(\mathcal{X}_{s_0})$ *for* $1 \le q < q_0$.
- (iii) *The functions* $s \mapsto \frac{|\mu|^2 g_{\mathbf{a}}}{g_0} \in L^h(\mathcal{X}_s) \equiv L^h(X)$ *and* $s \mapsto \chi \in H^q_2(\mathcal{X}_s) \equiv H^q_2(X)$ *are both of class* C^∞.
- (iv) *For the coefficient of the harmonic Beltrami differential* $\mu(z) \in H^p_1$ *for* $p < h_0$ *holds.*

Proof. The expression $|\mu|^2\frac{g_{\mathbf{a}}}{g_0}$ in local coordinates near the puncture p_j behaves like $\frac{1}{|z|^{2(1-a_j)}}$ because of Lemma 4.5, hence (i) follows. Now we write equation (13) as

$$-\Delta_{g_0}\chi + \frac{g_{\mathbf{a}}}{g_0}\chi = \frac{g_{\mathbf{a}}}{g_0}|\mu|^2.$$

However, near the puncture p_j, the function $\frac{g_{\mathbf{a}}}{g_0}$ is in L^p for $1 \le p < \frac{1}{a_j}$, so by [24, Lemma 2.1] together with (i), the claim (ii) follows. To prove (iii) we apply Theorem 2.3 together with the smooth dependence on parameters of the solution of elliptic equations. In order to see (iv), we express μ in terms of a quadratic holomorphic differential and apply Remark 2.1. □

Proposition 5.3. *For every point* $s_0 \in S$, *we have:*

$$\left\|\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right|_{s_0}\right\|^2_{WP,\mathbf{a}} = \int_{\mathcal{X}_{s_0}} \chi\, dA_{g_{\mathbf{a}}}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\int_X \Delta_{g_{\mathbf{a}}}\chi\, dA_{g_{\mathbf{a}}} = \sqrt{-1}\int_X \partial\bar\partial\chi = 0$$

because $\chi \in H_2^q(X)$ for some $q > 1$, X is compact and the space of smooth functions is dense in $H_2^q(X)$. Now by equation (13)

$$\int_X |\mu|^2 dA_{g_{\mathbf{a}}} = \int_X \chi\, dA_{g_{\mathbf{a}}}.$$

□

Assume now that S is arbitrary and $f : \mathcal{X} \to S$ a holomorphic family of weighted punctured Riemann surfaces. We denote by ω_S^{WP} the real $(1,1)$-form, which is determined by the Weil-Petersson inner product of tangent vectors on S: Given a tangent vector $u \in T_{S,s_0}$ we denote by $\rho_{S,s_0}(u) = \mu_{\mathbf{a}}(u) \in H^1(X, \mathbf{a})$ the corresponding harmonic Beltrami differential in the sense of Theorem 4.6.

At this point, we introduce the notion of *fiber integrals* of differential forms for a holomorphic family $f : \mathcal{X} \to S$ of compact complex manifolds of dimension n say. Let η be a differential form of a certain degree $(k+n, k+n)$. Let

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \times S \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[dr, "pr"'] & \mathcal{X} \arrow[d, "f"] \\ & S \end{tikzcd}$$

be a differentiable trivialization. Then

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}/S} \eta := \int_{X\times S/S} \phi^*\eta$$

denotes a differential form of degree (k,k), where the latter integral is defined in terms of the components of $\phi^*\eta$ which have total degree $2n$ in fiber direction and degree $2k$ in S-direction. The exterior derivative of a fiber integral can be computed in different ways. Primarily

$$d\left(\int_{\mathcal{X}/S} \eta\right) = \int_{\mathcal{X}/S} d\eta.$$

The latter integral can be evaluated in terms of ϕ. Since a differentiable trivialization determines a lift v of tangent vectors $\partial/\partial x$ of the base, any partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\int_{\mathcal{X}/S} \eta = \int_{\mathcal{X}/S} L_v(\eta)$$

where L_v denotes the Lie derivative of the differential form η with respect to v. On can verify that this is also true for differentiable lifts of complex tangent vectors, which need not arise from differentiable trivializations.

Then

Theorem 5.4. *The fiber integral*

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}/S} \omega_{\mathcal{X}}^2 = \omega_S^{WP}$$

equals the Weil-Petersson form.

Proof. Let $\alpha : \widetilde{S} \to S$ a holomorphic map of complex manifolds. We consider $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{X} \times_S \widetilde{S}$ and the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathcal{X}} \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\alpha}"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{f}"'] & \mathcal{X} \arrow[d, "f"] \\
\widetilde{S} \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & S
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since the hyperbolic metrics on the fibers $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_t$ are just the hyperbolic metrics on the $\mathcal{X}_{\alpha(t)}$, $t \in \widetilde{S}$, the relative volume form on $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \widetilde{S}$ equals $\widetilde{\alpha}^* g$ where g denotes the relative volume form for $\mathcal{X} \to S$. This implies

$$\widetilde{\alpha}^* \omega_{\mathcal{X}} = \widetilde{\alpha}^*(\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial} \log g) = \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial} \log \widetilde{\alpha}^* g = \omega_{\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}}.$$

Hence the integral in the above Theorem commutes with base change, in particular with the restriction to local analytic curves.

On the other hand, the Weil-Petersson Hermitian product i.e. the evaluation of ω^{WP} at tangent vectors commutes with base change: For $v \in T_{\widetilde{S},t_0}$ we have $\rho_{\widetilde{S},t_0}(v) = \rho_{S,\alpha(t_0)}(\alpha_*(v))$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{\widetilde{S}}^{WP}(v,w) &= \langle \rho_{\widetilde{S},t_0}(v), \rho_{\widetilde{S},t_0}(w) \rangle_{WP,\mathbf{a}} \\
&= \langle \rho_{S,\alpha(t_0)}(\alpha_*(v)), \rho_{S,\alpha(t_0)}(\alpha_*(w)) \rangle_{WP,\mathbf{a}} = \omega_S^{WP}(\alpha_*(v), \alpha_*(w)),
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\alpha^* \omega_S^{WP} = \omega_{\widetilde{S}}^{WP}.$$

Since both $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ and ω^{WP} are defined in a functorial way, it is sufficient to check the case $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S = 1$, which follows from Proposition 5.3 and Formula (12). □

Theorem 5.5. *The Weil-Petersson form is of class C^∞ and d-closed on the base of any holomorphic family. In particular, on the Teichmüller space, ω^{WP} is a Kähler form.*

Proof. At this point we introduce holomorphic coordinates s^i; $i = 1, \ldots, N$ on the base space S. We consider the horizontal lifts V_i on $\mathcal{X}$ and their inner product with respect to $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$

$$\chi_{i\overline{\jmath}} = \langle V_i, V_j \rangle$$

Furthermore

$$(\Delta_{g_{\mathbf{a}}} - id)\chi_{i\overline{\jmath}} = \mu_i \mu_{\overline{\jmath}}. \tag{14}$$

The relevant term for the fiber integral of $\omega^2_{\mathcal{X}}$ is

$$\sqrt{-1}\chi_{i\bar{\jmath}}\, g_{\mathbf{a}}\, dA\, ds^i \wedge ds^{\bar{\jmath}}.$$

In order to show the Theorem need to prove that

$$d\int_{\mathcal{X}/S} \omega^2_{\mathcal{X}} = \int_{\mathcal{X}/S} d(\omega^2_{\mathcal{X}}),$$

The map $S \to L^p$, p as above, which sends s to $\chi_{i\bar{\jmath}} g_{\mathbf{a}}/g_0$ is of class C^∞, because of Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 5.2. So we apply a differerentiable local trivialization of the family. Then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s^k}\int_X \chi_{i\bar{\jmath}} g_{\mathbf{a}} dA = \int_X F_{i\bar{\jmath}k} g_{\mathbf{a}} dA$$

for some $F_{i\bar{\jmath}k} \in L^p(X)$. Since L^p-convergence of a sequence implies pointwise convergence of a subsequence almost everywhere, the function $F_{i\bar{\jmath}k}$ has to be the derivative of the integrand outside a set of measure zero. This argument shows that exterior derivative on S of the differential form given by the fiber integral of $\omega^2_{\mathcal{X}}$ equals the fiber integral of the exterior derivative of $\omega^2_{\mathcal{X}}$ on the total space $\mathcal{X}$. The latter form $d(\omega^2_{\mathcal{X}})$ is in L^p and equal to zero outside a set of measure zero, so the integral is identically zero. □

6. Determinant line bundles an Quillen metrics in the conical case

Let $f : (\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{a}) \to \mathbf{S}$ be any holomorphic family of weighted punctured Riemann surfaces equipped with the family $g_{\mathbf{a}}$ of conical metrics, in particular f may denote the universal such family. In this section we consider rational weights $a_j \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be a common denominator. Let

$$\mathcal{L}_m = \left((m(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}/S} + \mathbf{a})) - (m(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}/S} + \mathbf{a}))^{-1}\right)^{\otimes 2}.$$

be an element of the corresponding Grothendieck group. Denote by

$$\lambda_m = \det f_! \mathcal{L}_m$$

the determinant line bundle on S. The Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch Theorem states that the Chern class of the determinant line bundle equals the degree 2 component

$$c_1(\lambda_m) = -f_*\left(ch(\mathcal{L}_m) td(X/S)\right)_{(2)} = 4m^2 f_*\left(c_1^2(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}/S} + \mathbf{a})\right)_{(2)}.$$

Now we equip the $\mathbb{Q}$-bundle $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}/S} + \mathbf{a}$ with a C^∞ hermitian metric of the form $\widetilde{g}^{-1}$ with *positive* curvature, and denote by

$$\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{X}} = \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\log\widetilde{g} = 2\pi c_1(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}/S} + \mathbf{a}, \widetilde{g}^{-1})$$

the Chern form. We denote by $ch(\mathcal{L}_m, \widetilde{g})$ the induced Chern character form. Only the term of degree zero contributes to the Todd character form and the metric on $\mathcal{X}$ need not be specified.

The theorem of Quillen [20], Zograf-Takhtajan [26] and Bismut-Gillet-Soulé [4] states the existence of a Quillen metric h_0^Q on λ_m such that for the type $(1,1)$ components the following holds.

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\lambda_m, h_0^Q) &= -\int_{\mathcal{X}/S} ch(\mathcal{L}, \widetilde{g}) td(\mathcal{X}/S)_{(1,1)} \\ &= 4m^2 \int_{\mathcal{X}/S} c_1(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}/S} + \mathbf{a}, \widetilde{g}^{-1})^2_{(1,1)} \\ &= 16m^2\pi^2 \int_{\mathcal{X}/S} \widetilde{\omega}^2_{\mathcal{X}}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6.1. *Let $f : (\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{a}) \to \mathbf{S}$ be the universal holomorphic family of weighted punctured Riemann surfaces equipped with the family $g_{\mathbf{a}}$ of conical metrics. Let ω^{WP} be the generalized Weil-Petersson metric. Then the determinant line bundle λ_m possesses a hermitian metric h of class C^∞, whose Chern form is up to a numerical factor equal to the Weil-Petersson metric:*

$$c_1(\lambda_m, h) = 16m^2\pi^2\omega^{WP}.$$

The metric h descends to the moduli space.

Since Hilbert space methods are not available, the notion of an analytic torsion of Dirac operators is void, in particular there is no Quillen metric in its original sense.

Proof. We will use the notation of this section, in particular the metric $\widetilde{g}$ on $-(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}/S} + \mathbf{a})$. We can chose $\widetilde{g}$ invariant under the Teichmüller modular group. Let σ_ν be the canonical sections of the line bundles on $\mathcal{X}$ given by the punctures. These can be chosen as invariant under the Teichmüller modular group. The quotient

$$\frac{\widetilde{g}}{\Pi_\nu |\sigma_\nu|^{2a_\nu}}$$

is a well-defined relative metric on $\mathcal{X}$ with poles of fractional order at the punctures.

In view of Section 2 we have

$$g_{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{\widetilde{g}}{\Pi_\nu |\sigma_\nu|^{2a_\nu}} e^w,$$

where the function w is globally defined on $\mathcal{X}$.

Now

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}/S} (\omega^2_{\mathcal{X}/S} - \widetilde{\omega}^2_{\mathcal{X}/S}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}/S} \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial} \left(w \cdot (\omega_{\mathcal{X}/S} + \widetilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{X}/S}) \right).$$

Let the induced relative metric be

$$\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{X}} | \mathcal{X}_s = \widetilde{\widetilde{g}}(z,s) dA.$$

The assignment

$$s \mapsto w \cdot \left(\frac{g_{\mathbf{a}}}{\widetilde{\widetilde{g}}} + 1 \right) \widetilde{\widetilde{g}}$$

defines a C^∞ map $S \to L^p$. Now the argument of the proof of Theorem 5.4 applies literally, and

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}/S} \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial} \left(w \cdot (\omega_{\mathcal{X}/S} + \widetilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{X}/S}) \right) = \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial} \int_{\mathcal{X}/S} \left(w \cdot (\omega_{\mathcal{X}/S} + \widetilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{X}/S}) \right),$$

where the integral on the right-hand side defines a C^∞ function on S, which is invariant under the Teichmüller modular group. $\square$

7. Curvature of the Weil-Petersson metric

In the classical case the Ricci and holomorphic sectional curvatures of the classical Weil-Petersson metric were proven to be negative by Ahlfors in [1]. Royden conjectured the precise upper bound for the holomorphic sectional curvature in [21]. The curvature tensor of the Weil-Petersson metric for Teichmüller spaces of compact (or punctured) Riemann surfaces was computed explicitly by Tromba [29] and Wolpert [32]. In this section we show the analogous result for the weighted punctured case. Our methods are different and originate from the higher dimensional case treated in [25, 22].

We will first explain the approach and notation in the compact case. Let $f : \mathcal{X} \to S$ stand for the universal family, and let again (z, s) be local holomorphic coordinates on $\mathcal{X}$ with $f(z, s) = s$, where $s^i; i = 1, \ldots, N$ are holomorphic coordinates on S. We denote the coefficients of $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ by $g(z, s) = g_{z\overline{z}}(z, s)$, $g_{z\overline{\jmath}}$, and $g_{i\overline{\jmath}}$ resp. (cf. (4), (5), (6), and (7)).

We use the notation of Kähler geometry. Accordingly the Christoffel symbols are

$$\Gamma = \Gamma^z_{zz} = \frac{\partial \log g}{\partial z}$$

and

$$\Gamma^{\overline{z}}_{\overline{z}\overline{z}} = \overline{\Gamma}.$$

The curvature tensor is

$$R^z_{z\overline{z}z} = -g_{z\overline{z}}.$$

Our computations require covariant derivatives with respect to the hyperbolic metrics $g = g(z, s)$ on the fibers $\mathcal{X}_s$, whereas we can use ordinary derivatives for parameters. We use the semi-colon notation of the derivative of any tensor b for both:

$$\nabla_z b = b_{;z},$$

and

$$\nabla_i b = \partial_i b = b_{;i},$$

where the index i stands for the coordinate s^i so that $\partial_i = \partial/\partial s^i$.

Let the tangent vectors $(\partial/\partial s^i)|_s$ correspond to harmonic Beltrami differentials

$$\mu_i = \mu_{i\,\overline{z}}^{z} \partial_z \overline{dz}$$

with $\mu_{\overline{\jmath}} = \overline{\mu_j}$

Now the Weil-Petersson form in coordinates s^i equals

$$\omega_S^{WP} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} G_{i\overline{\jmath}}(s) ds^i \wedge ds^{\overline{\jmath}},$$

where

$$G_{i\overline{\jmath}}(s) = \langle \mu_i, \mu_j \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{X}_s} \mu_i \mu_{\overline{\jmath}} g dA.$$

Like in Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 4.4 we use the horizontal lifts

$$V_i = \partial_i + a_i^z \partial_z,$$

We set $V_{\overline{\jmath}} = \overline{V_j}$ and $a_{\overline{\jmath}} = \overline{a_j}$, i.e. $a_{\overline{\jmath}}^{\overline{z}} = \overline{a_k^z}$. We have

$$\mu_i = a_{i;\overline{z}}^{z}\, \partial_z \overline{dz}. \tag{15}$$

In order to compute derivatives ∂_k say of the coefficients $G_{i\overline{\jmath}}$, in principle we need a differential trivialization of the family. Instead one can apply the Lie derivative L_{W_k} with respect to a differentiable lift W_k of the tangent vector $\partial/\partial s^k$ to the integrand. In this way the Lie derivative of the integrand can be separated into tensors. Also (because of the symmetry of the Christoffel symbols) we can use covariant derivatives for the computation of Lie derivatives. As usual, the metric tensor defines a transition from contravariant to covariant tensors.

As differentiable lifts we take the horizontal lifts V_k described above. Observe that Lie derivatives are not type preserving.

We will need the following identities.

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{V_k}(g\, dA) &= 0 && (16)\\
\chi_{i\overline{\jmath}} := \langle V_i, V_j \rangle_{\omega_{\mathcal{X}}} &= g_{i\overline{\jmath}} - a_i^z a_{\overline{\jmath}}^{\overline{z}} g_{z\overline{z}} && (17)\\
L_{V_k}(\mu_{\overline{\jmath}}) &= -(\chi_{k\overline{\jmath}})^{;\overline{z}}_{;z} \partial_{\overline{z}} dz && (18)\\
&\quad -(\mu_k)^{z}_{\overline{z}} (\mu_{\overline{\jmath}})^{\overline{z}}_{z} \partial_z dz + (\mu_k)^{z}_{\overline{z}} (\mu_{\overline{\jmath}})^{\overline{z}}_{z} \partial_{\overline{z}} \overline{dz}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We show (16) and compute the $(z, \overline{z})$-component of the Lie derivative.

$$(L_{V_k} g_{z\overline{z}})_{z\overline{z}} = [\partial_k + a_k^z \partial_z, g_{z\overline{z}}] = g_{z\overline{z};k} + a_k^z g_{z\overline{z};z} + a_{kz}^{z} g_{z\overline{z}} = g_{k\overline{z};z} + a_{k\overline{z};z} = 0$$

The inner product of horizontal lifts in (17) with respect to $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ was already evaluated for $\dim S = 1$ above. Equation (18) follows from the (15) and (17). □

Proposition 7.1. *For all $s \in S$*

$$\partial_k G_{i\overline{\jmath}}(s) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_s} L_{V_k}(\mu_i) \mu_{\overline{\jmath}}\, g\, dA \tag{19}$$

holds.

When evaluating (19), only the first component of (18) gives a contribution in the pairing with $\mu_{\overline{\jmath}}$.

Proof. We compute $L_{V_k}(\mu_i\mu_{\overline{\jmath}}\, g\, dA)$ using (16). Now by partial integration (for all $s \in S$):

(20)
$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_s} \mu_i L_{V_k}(\mu_{\overline{\jmath}})\, g\, dA = -\int_{\mathcal{X}_s} \mu_i{}^{z}_{\overline{z}} \chi_{i\overline{\jmath}}{}^{;\overline{z}}_{;z}\, g\, dA = \int_{\mathcal{X}_s} \mu_i{}^{z}_{\overline{z};z} \chi_{i\overline{\jmath}}{}^{;\overline{z}}\, g\, dA = 0.$$

In the last step we used the harmonicity of μ_i in the form

$$\mu_i{}^{z}_{\overline{z};z} = 0. \tag{21}$$

□

Lemma 7.2.

$$L_{V_k}(\mu_i)^z_{\overline{z}} = L_{V_i}(\mu_k)^z_{\overline{z}} \tag{22}$$

The *proof* is a direct computation.

We see that Lemma 7.2 together with Proposition 7.1 also implies the Kähler property.

Lemma 7.3. *The Lie derivatives*

$$L_{V_k}(\mu_i) = L_{V_k}(\mu_i)^z_{\overline{z}} \partial_z \overline{dz}$$

of the harmonic Beltrami differentials are again harmonic Beltrami differentials.

Proof. We have

$$\nabla_z L_{V_k}(\mu_i) = 0.$$

Its formal proof corresponds to $\overline{\partial}^* L_{V_k}(\mu_i) = 0$ in [23]. The computation is straightforward. □

It is convenient to use normal coordinates of the second kind for the components of the Weil-Petersson tensor at a given point $s_0 \in S$. Because the μ_i span the space of harmonic Beltrami differentials (for $s = s_0$) the condition

$$\partial_k G_{i\overline{\jmath}}(s_0) = 0$$

by Proposition 7.1 is equivalent to saying that all derivatives $L_{V_k}(\mu_i)$ vanish at $s = s_0$ identically.

We compute the second derivative at the given point s_0. By (19)

$$\partial_{\overline{\ell}} \partial_k G_{i\overline{\jmath}} = \int_{\mathcal{X}_{s_0}} L_{V_{\overline{\ell}}} L_{V_k}(\mu_i) \mu_{\overline{\jmath}}\, g\, dA + \int_{\mathcal{X}_{s_0}} L_{V_k}(\mu_i) L_{V_{\overline{\ell}}}(\mu_{\overline{\jmath}})\, g\, dA. \tag{23}$$

Lemma 7.4.

$$\begin{aligned} [V_{\overline{\ell}}, V_k] &= -\chi_{k\overline{\ell}}{}^{;z} \partial_z + \chi_{k\overline{\ell}}{}^{;\overline{z}} \partial_{\overline{z}} && (24) \\ \int_{\mathcal{X}_s} L_{[V_{\overline{\ell}}, V_k]}(\mu_i) \mu_{\overline{\jmath}}\, g\, dA &= -\int_{\mathcal{X}_s} \Delta(\chi_{k\overline{\ell}}) \mu_i \mu_{\overline{\jmath}}\, g\, dA && (25) \end{aligned}$$

We omit the computational proof of (24). In order to see (25) we write

$$[\chi^{;z}_{k\bar\ell}\partial_z, \mu^{z}_{i\,\bar z}\partial_z\overline{dz}]^{z}_{\bar z} = -\chi^{;z}_{k\bar\ell}\mu^{z}_{i\bar z;z} + \chi^{;z}_{k\bar\ell;z}\mu^{z}_{i\bar z},$$

where the first term on the right-hand side vanishes because of the harmonicity of the Beltrami differential μ_i. So we have the right-hand side of (25). Finally

$$[\chi^{;\bar z}_{k\bar\ell}\partial_{\bar z}, \mu^{z}_{i\,\bar z}\partial_z\overline{dz}]^{z}_{\bar z} = \chi^{;\bar z}_{k\bar\ell}\mu^{z}_{i\,\bar z;\bar z} + \chi^{;\bar z}_{k\bar\ell;\bar z}\mu^{z}_{i\,\bar z} = (\chi^{;\bar z}_{k\bar\ell}\mu^{z}_{i\,\bar z})_{;\bar z}$$

so that (again by harmonicity)

$$[\chi^{;\bar z}_{k\bar\ell}\partial_{\bar z}, \mu^{z}_{i\,\bar z}\partial_z\overline{dz}]^{z}_{\bar z}\cdot\mu^{\bar z}_{\bar\jmath z} = (\chi^{;\bar z}_{k\bar\ell}\mu^{z}_{i\,\bar z}\mu^{\bar z}_{\bar\jmath z})_{;\bar z}.$$

The divergence theorem implies that the integral vanishes. ☐

We continue the computation of (23).

We use the fact that $L_{V_{\bar\jmath}}L_{V_k}(\mu_i) = L_{[V_{\bar\ell},V_k]}(\mu_i)+L_{V_k}L_{V_{\bar\jmath}}(\mu_i)$ and apply Lemma 7.4. Now

$$\partial_{\bar\ell}\partial_k G_{i\bar\jmath} = \int_{\mathcal{X}_s} L_{[V_{\bar\ell},V_k]}(\mu_i)\mu_{\bar\jmath}\,g\,dA + \int_{\mathcal{X}_s} L_{V_k}L_{V_{\bar\ell}}(\mu_i)\mu_{\bar\jmath}\,g\,dA + \int_{\mathcal{X}_s} L_{V_k}(\mu_i)L_{V_{\bar\ell}}(\mu_{\bar\jmath})\,g\,dA \tag{26}$$

The third term of (26) vanishes at s_0, because for $s = s_0$ in normal coordinates

$$L_{V_k}(\mu_i) = 0.$$

Now

$$\partial_{\bar\ell}\partial_k G_{i\bar\jmath} = -\int_{\mathcal{X}_s} \Delta(\chi_{k\bar\ell})\mu_i\mu_{\bar\jmath}\,g\,dA + \partial_k\int_{\mathcal{X}_s} L_{V_{\bar\ell}}(\mu_i)\mu_{\bar\jmath}\,g\,dA - \int_{\mathcal{X}_s} L_{V_{\bar\ell}}(\mu_i)L_{V_k}(\mu_{\bar\jmath})\,g\,dA. \tag{27}$$

In order to treat the *first term* of (27), we use the equation

$$(-\Delta + id)\chi_{k\bar\ell} = \mu_k\mu_{\bar\ell} \tag{28}$$

corresponding to (13). So that

$$-\int_{\mathcal{X}_s}\Delta(\chi_{k\bar\ell})\mu_i\mu_{\bar\jmath}\,g\,dA = \int_{\mathcal{X}_s}\Delta(-\Delta+id)^{-1}(\mu_k\mu_{\bar\ell})\cdot(\mu_i\mu_{\bar\jmath})\,g\,dA$$

$$= -\int_{\mathcal{X}_s}((-\Delta+id)-id)\,(-\Delta+id)^{-1}(\mu_k\mu_{\bar\ell})\cdot(\mu_i\mu_{\bar\jmath})\,g\,dA \tag{29}$$

$$= -\int_{\mathcal{X}_s}(\mu_k\mu_{\bar\ell})\cdot(\mu_i\mu_{\bar\jmath})\,g\,dA + \int_{\mathcal{X}_s}(-\Delta+id)^{-1}(\mu_k\mu_{\bar\ell})\cdot(\mu_i\mu_{\bar\jmath})\,g\,dA$$

The *second term* of (27) vanishes by (20).

In the *third term* of (27) all three components of (18) matter. We will use the following identity that follows from the hyperbolicity of the metrics:

$$\chi_{k\bar\jmath\,;zz\bar z} = \chi_{k\bar\jmath\,;z\bar z z} - \chi_{k\bar\jmath\,;z}R^{z}{}_{zz\bar z} = \chi_{k\bar\jmath\,;z\bar z z} - g_{z\bar z}\,\chi_{k\bar\jmath\,;z}.$$

So

$$-\int_{\mathcal{X}_s} (\chi_{i\bar\ell})_{;\bar z\bar z}(\chi_{k\bar\jmath})_{;zz}(g^{\bar z z})^2\, g\, dA = \int_{\mathcal{X}_s} (\chi_{i\bar\ell})_{;\bar z}(\chi_{k\bar\jmath})_{;zz\bar z}(g^{\bar z z})^2\, g\, dA$$

$$= -\int_{\mathcal{X}_s} (\chi_{i\bar\ell})_{;\bar z z}\left((\chi_{k\bar\jmath})_{;z\bar z} - g_{z\bar z}\chi_{k\bar\jmath}\right)(g^{\bar z z})^2\, g\, dA = \int_{\mathcal{X}_s} \Delta(\chi_{i\bar\ell})\mu_k\mu_{\bar\jmath}\, g\, dA$$

The argument above shows that this is exactly equal to

$$-\int_{\mathcal{X}_s} (\mu_i\mu_{\bar\ell})\cdot(\mu_k\mu_{\bar\jmath})\, g\, dA + \int_{\mathcal{X}_s} (-\Delta + id)^{-1}(\mu_i\mu_{\bar\ell})\cdot(\mu_k\mu_{\bar\jmath})\, g\, dA.$$

Hence the third term in (27) contains the three contributions of (18), it equals

$$\begin{aligned} & -\int_{\mathcal{X}_s} (\chi_{k\bar\jmath})_{;zz}(\chi_{i\bar\ell})_{;\bar z\bar z}(g^{\bar z z})^2\, g\, dA \\ & \qquad + \int_{\mathcal{X}_s} (\mu_i\mu_{\bar\jmath})(\mu_k\mu_{\bar\ell})\, g\, dA + \int_{\mathcal{X}_s} (\mu_i\mu_{\bar\ell})(\mu_k\mu_{\bar\jmath})\, g\, dA \\ & = \int_{\mathcal{X}_s} (-\Delta + id)^{-1}(\mu_k\mu_{\bar\jmath})(\mu_i\mu_{\bar\ell}) + \int_{\mathcal{X}_s} (\mu_i\mu_{\bar\jmath})(\mu_k\mu_{\bar\ell})\, g\, dA \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

(Here we gathered the Beltrami differentials in a convenient way.)

Adding all terms together, we have the curvature of the Weil-Petersson metric.

Theorem 7.5. *Let* s^i *be holomorphic coordinates on the Teichmüller space and let the tangent vectors* $\frac{\partial}{\partial s^i}\big|_{s_0}$ *correspond to the harmonic Beltrami differentials* μ_i *on* $X = \mathcal{X}_{s_0}$*. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{i\bar\jmath k\bar\ell}(s_0) &= \int_X (\Delta - id)^{-1}(\mu_i\mu_{\bar\jmath})\,\mu_k\mu_{\bar\ell}\, g\, dA \\ &\quad + \int_X (\Delta - id)^{-1}(\mu_i\mu_{\bar\ell})\,\mu_k\mu_{\bar\jmath}\, g\, dA. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

holds.

(We have been using the complex Laplacian with non-positive eigenvalues as opposed to the real one, which accounts for a factor of 2.)

In the case of the generalized Weil-Petersson metric for weighted Riemann surfaces we will show that the same formula holds, for weights larger that 1/2. This is the range, where also Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates were introduced. It contains the interesting range of weights of the form $1 - 1/m$, $m > 2$, which arise from orbifold singularities.

Theorem 7.6. *Let* $(X, \mathbf{a})$ *with* $1/2 < a_j < 1$ *be a weighted punctured Riemann surface, which is represented by a point* s_0 *in the Teichmüller space* $\mathcal{T}_{\gamma,n}$*. Let* $s^1, \ldots s^N$ *be any local holomorphic coordinates near* s_0*, and let* $\mu_\alpha \in H^1(X, \mathbf{a})$ *be harmonic representatives of the vectors* $\frac{\partial}{\partial s\alpha}\big|_{s_0}$*. Then the curvature tensor of the Weil-Petersson metric is given* *by* (31)*, where the Laplacian and the area elements are replaced by* $\Delta_{\mathbf{a}}$ *and* $dA_{g_{\mathbf{a}}}$*, which are induced by the hyperbolic conical metric on the fiber.*

In all of our arguments we will assume that the anti-holomorphic quadratic differentials that define harmonic Beltrami differentials have at most a pole at the given conical singularity, (in the holomorphic case the proofs are still valid).

We first prove the statement of Proposition 7.1 in the conical case.

We need to see that the integration commutes with a differentiation with respect to the parameter (after a differentiable trivialization of the family). This follows like in the proof of Theorem 5.4:

Let first be $\widetilde{V}_k$ be any C^∞ lift. Now the map $s \mapsto \mu_i\mu_{\overline{\jmath}} g_{\mathbf{a}}$ again is a C^∞ map from S to $L^h(X)$ by Lemma (5.2)(iii). So by our previous argument,

$$\partial_k G_{i\overline{\jmath}}(s) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_s} L_{\widetilde{V}_k}(\mu_i\mu_{\overline{\jmath}}\, g\, dA)$$

holds. Next, we need that

$$V_k - \widetilde{V}_k = C^z\partial_z$$

is a (global) tensor in fiber direction. Now

$$\begin{aligned}&[C^z\partial_z, (\mu_i\mu_{\overline{\jmath}})g_{z\overline{z}}\sqrt{-1}dz\wedge\overline{dz}]\\ &= C^z\partial_z((\mu_i\mu_{\overline{\jmath}})g_{z\overline{z}}) + \partial_z(C^z)((\mu_i\mu_{\overline{\jmath}})g_{z\overline{z}})\sqrt{-1}dz\wedge\overline{dz}\\ &\quad = d(\sqrt{-1}C^z\cdot(\mu_i\mu_{\overline{\jmath}})g_{z\overline{z}}\overline{dz}) = d(C_{\overline{z}}(\mu_i\mu_{\overline{\jmath}})).\end{aligned}$$

Claim.

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_s} d(C_{\overline{z}}(\mu_i\mu_{\overline{\jmath}})) = 0.$$

Proof of the Claim. We write the above integral as limit of integrals over closed paths around the punctures. We first estimate the coefficient $C_{\overline{z}}$. It satisfies the same estimates like the $a_{k\overline{z}}$. Now

$$\frac{\partial a_k^z}{\partial\overline{z}} = \mu_k = \frac{\overline{\varphi}}{g}$$

for some holomorphic quadratic differential φ with at most a simple pole. Because of Remark 2.1 we can find a continuous $\overline{z}$-anti-derivative η of the right-hand side on a punctured disk U^*. This fact follows from the more general Remark 7.8 below.

The term

$$a_k^z - \eta$$

is holomorphic on U^* and

$$a_{k\overline{z}} = g\cdot a_k^z \in H_1^p(U)\subset L^2(U)$$

for $p < 1/a$ by Theorem 2.3. In particular

$$a_k^z - \eta \in L^2(U).$$

Hence $a_k^z - \eta$ must be holomorphic at the puncture (cf. [24, Lemma 5.3]. Now

$$|C_{\overline{z}}| \simeq |a_{k\overline{z}}| = |g \cdot a_k^z| \lesssim |z|^{-2a},$$

and

$$|C_z(\mu_i \mu_{\overline{j}})| \lesssim \frac{1}{|z|^{2(1-a)}}$$

so that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{r=\varepsilon} \frac{r d\varphi}{r^{2(1-a)}} = 0$$

implies the claim. $\square$

Lemma 7.7. *Let* Δ_r *the disk of radius* r *in* $\mathbb{C}$, *and* $1/2 < \alpha < 1$. *Let* $f \in C^\infty(\Delta_1 \backslash \{0\}, \mathbb{C})$ *be a function such that* $|z|^{2\alpha} f(z)$ *is bounded in a neighborhood of* 0. *Let* U *be a relatively compact open subset of* Δ_1 *containing* 0. *Then the equation*

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \overline{z}} = f \tag{32}$$

has a solution which is of class C^∞ *on* $(U \backslash \{0\})$ *and such that* $|z|^{2\alpha-1} g(z)$ *is bounded in a neighborhood of* 0. *In particular it is contained in* $L^2(U)$. *Moreover any solution of* (32)*, which is in* $L^2(U)$ *has this boundedness property.*

Proof. For any $0 \leq r < \rho < 1$, and $\Delta_{r,\rho} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r < |z| < \rho\}$. We define

$$F(r,\rho)(z) = \frac{-1}{\pi} \int_{\Delta_{r,\rho}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \, i \frac{d\zeta \wedge d\overline{\zeta}}{2}.$$

It is known that for $z \in \Delta_{r,\rho}$ with $r > 0$

?? quote some reference? e.g. Kodaira Morrow?

$$\frac{\partial F(r,\rho)(z)}{\partial \overline{z}} = f(z)$$

holds. Let K be a compact subset of $\Delta_\rho \backslash \{0\}$. Let $r_0 > 0$ be chosen such that $K \subset \Delta_{r_0,\rho}$. Then, for all $0 < r < r_0$, the function $|f(\zeta)/|\zeta - z|$ is uniformly bounded by some $M > 0$ for $z \in K$ and $\zeta \in \Delta_{\rho_0} \backslash \{0\}$. So

$$|F(r,\rho)(z) - F(0,\rho)(z)| \leq M r^2,$$

which implies uniform convergence for $r \to 0$. The same argument holds for the derivatives with respect to z and $\overline{z}$. It follows that $F(0,\rho)$ is differentiable and solves (32) on $\Delta_\rho \backslash \{0\}$.

On the open set $\Delta_\rho \backslash \{0\}$ we write $f(\zeta) = |\zeta|^{-2\alpha} m(\zeta)$ with $|m(\zeta)| \leq C$. Now we make the change of variables $\zeta = z\eta$. Then

$$|F(0,\rho)(z)| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} C |z|^{-2\alpha+1} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{1}{|\eta|^{2\alpha} |(\eta - 1)|} \, i \frac{d\eta \wedge d\overline{\eta}}{2}.$$

so that we just need to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{1}{|\eta|^{2\alpha} |(\eta - 1)|} \, i \frac{d\eta \wedge d\overline{\eta}}{2} < +\infty.$$

The convergence of the right-hand side integral follows from

$$\int_0^1 \frac{rdr}{r^{2\alpha}} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_2^\infty \frac{rdr}{r^{2\alpha+1}} < \infty.$$

Choose ρ such that $\overline{U} \subseteq \Delta_\rho$. Then the condition $|z|^{2\alpha-1}g(z)$ bounded implies that g is in $L^2(U)$. The second claim now follows from the fact that a holomorphic function in $\Delta_\rho\backslash\{0\}$, which is in $L^2(U)$ is bounded on U. □

Applying the above Lemma applied to $g(z)/z$ we can treat the case $0 < \alpha < 1/2$.

Remark 7.8. *An analogous statement holds for* $0 < \alpha < 1/2$*: Under the same boundedness assumption, the equation* (32) *has a solution, which extends continuously to the origin.*

Remark 7.9. *If* $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ *a statement as in the Lemma does not hold in general.*

In fact, let us choose $f(z) = 1/\overline{z} = (\partial/\partial\overline{z})\log(|z|^2)$. Assume that there exists a bounded function g on a small punctured disk such that $g - \log(|z|^2)$ is holomorphic. Since $g - \log(|z|^2)$ is in L^2 of the disk, we would obtain that it is bounded. However $\log(|z|^2)$ is not bounded near 0. By replacing f by $z^k f$ and g by $z^{-k}g$ for some integer k, we may prove a similar lemma for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $2\alpha \neq \mathbb{Z}$, and find an example as above if $2\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

We return to the discussion of the generalized Weil-Petersson metric. We know that

$$\partial_k G_{i\overline{\jmath}}(s) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_s} L_{V_k}(\mu_i \mu_{\overline{\jmath}}\, g\, dA)$$

So far the integral can be computed in terms of the (singular) horizontal lifts V_k, and we are in a position to also use covariant derivatives, since the Lie derivatives can also be computed in terms of those.

We use the fact $L_{V_k}(g) = 0$ from (16), which is still pointwise true outside a set of measure zero so that the statement of Proposition7.1 in the conical case is reduced to showing that

$$\int_X \mu_i L_{V_k}(\mu_{\overline{\jmath}}) g_{\mathbf{a}} dA = 0.$$

By (18) the above integral equals

$$-\int_X \mu_i{}^z_{\overline{z}} \chi_{k\overline{\jmath}}{}^{;\overline{z}}{}_{;z}\, g\, dA = -\int_X \left(\mu_i{}^z_{\overline{z}} \chi_{k\overline{\jmath}}{}^{;\overline{z}}\right)_{;z} g\, dA$$

because of the harmonicity of μ_i. This integral is up to a numerical factor written as

$$\int_X d\left(\mu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\overline{dz} \cdot \chi_{k\overline{\jmath};z} dz\right) = \int_X d\left(\mu_i \cdot \chi_{k\overline{\jmath};z}\overline{dz}\right)$$

We consider the defining equation for $\chi = \chi_{k\overline{\jmath}}$ in the form

$$\frac{\partial^2 \chi}{\partial z \overline{\partial z}} = -g\mu_k \mu_{\overline{\jmath}} + g\chi.$$

We know that χ is continuous and for some neighborhood U of a puncture, again, we apply Lemma 7.7 and take a $\overline{z}$-anti-derivative η of the right-hand side, which satisfies (with $a > 1/2$)

$$|\eta| \lesssim r^{1-2a}.$$

Again, since $\partial\chi/\partial z \in H^p_1 \subset L^2$, the function

$$\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial z} - \eta \in \mathcal{O}(U^*)$$

is holomorphic at the puncture. With the estimate for μ_i the argument of the previous claim immediately yields the vanishing of the integral.

Next, we chose normal coordinates for the Weil-Petersson metric on S of the second kind at a given pint $s = s_0$.

This concludes the proof of Proposition 7.1 in the conical case.

We will follow the computation of the curvature of the Weil-Petersson metric in the compact case.

Lemma 7.10. *The Lie derivatives*

$$L_{V_k}(\mu_i)^z_{\overline{z}} \partial_z \overline{dz}$$

of the harmonic Beltrami differentials are again harmonic Beltrami differentials with respect to the conical structure (depending in a C^∞-way upon the parameter).

Now we can apply the argument of Proposition 7.1 literally to

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_s} L_{V_k}(\mu_i)\mu_{\overline{\jmath}}\, g\, dA$$

and get

Corollary 7.11. *Equation* (23) *holds in the conical case.*

Proof of Lemma 7.10. We have from Lemma 7.3

$$\nabla_z L_{V_k}(\mu_i) = 0. \tag{33}$$

We need to see that the anti-holomorphic term $g \cdot L_{V_k}(\mu_i)$ is in L^1 so that it can have at most a simple pole. After the verification, we know that $L_{V_k}(\mu_i)$ is a harmonic Beltrami differential in our sense. We have

$$\begin{aligned} g \cdot L_{V_k}(\mu_i)^z_{\overline{z}} &= -g\partial_z\left(\frac{1}{g}\partial_k(g_{i\overline{z}})\right) - g_{i\overline{z}}g_{k\overline{z}} \\ &= -\partial_{\overline{z}}(\log g)(\partial_k g_{i\overline{z}}) + \partial_{\overline{z}}(\partial_k g_{i\overline{z}}) - g_{i\overline{z}}g_{k\overline{z}}. \end{aligned}$$

We show that all three terms are in L^1: For the first term we can use the proof of Lemma 4.5. Since $g_{i\overline{z}} \in H^p_1$ the second term is in L^1. Finally both $g_{i\overline{z}}$ and $g_{k\overline{z}}$ are in $H^p_1 \subset L^2$. □

We prove the statement of Lemma 7.4 in the conical case: The equation (24) is pointwise and carries over. We show (25): For the required partial integration we just need that

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_s} d(\chi_{k\bar\ell;z}\mu_i\mu_{\bar\jmath}dz) = 0.$$

As above we reduce this to the vanishing of limits of integrals along closed paths around the punctures.

We know from Lemma 7.15 below that $|\chi_{k\bar\ell:z}| \lesssim r^{-2a+1}$ and $\mu_i\mu_{\bar\jmath} \sim r^{-2+4a}$ so that $|\chi_{k\bar\ell;z}\mu_i\mu_{\bar\jmath}| \lesssim r^{4a-1}$. So

$$\lim_{r\to 0}(r \cdot r^{4a-1}) = 0$$

implies that the above integral vanishes, which proves (25) in the conical case. ☐

In particular (27) is now valid in our situation. A purely local computation (under the integral sign) implies (29).

The final step is to arrive at (30) in the conical case, i.e. to apply a twofold partial integration to

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_s} (\chi_{i\bar\ell})_{;\overline{zz}}(\chi_{k\bar\jmath})_{;zz}(g^{\bar z z})^2\, g\, dA.$$

This is achieved by the following Lemmas 7.12 and 7.13.

Lemma 7.12. *The following singular integral vanishes.*

$$\int_X d(\chi_{k\bar\jmath;z\bar z}\chi_{i\bar\ell;\bar z}g^{\bar z z}\overline{dz}) = 0. \tag{34}$$

Proof. The integrand equals

$$d\left((\chi_{k\bar\jmath} - \mu_k\mu_{\bar\jmath})\chi_{i\bar\ell:\bar z}\overline{dz}\right).$$

Now we know from Lemma 7.15 below that

$$|\chi_{i\bar\ell:\bar z}| \lesssim r^{-2a+1}$$

and have the continuity of $\chi_{k\bar\jmath}$. Furthermore

$$|\mu_k\mu_{\bar\jmath}| \lesssim r^{-2+4a}$$

so that $\chi_{k\bar\jmath} - \mu_k\mu_{\bar\jmath}$ is continuous. We use integration along closed loops as above and see that the integral vanishes. ☐

Lemma 7.13.

$$\int_X d(\chi_{k\bar\jmath;zz}\chi_{i\bar\ell;\bar z}g^{\bar z z}dz) = 0. \tag{35}$$

We reduce the proof to the following statement, which shows that possible residues in (35) and (34) must be equal up to a sign. However, we know already that the latter integral vanishes.

Lemma 7.14.

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{\{|z|<\varepsilon\}}\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}(\chi_{k\overline{\jmath};z}\chi_{i\overline{\ell};\overline{z}}g^{\overline{z}z}) = 0 \tag{36}$$

Proof of (35). We expand the integrand of (36) and find:

$$0 = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{|z|=\varepsilon}\partial\left(\chi_{k\overline{\jmath};z}\chi_{i\overline{\ell};\overline{z}}g^{\overline{z}z}\right) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{|z|=\varepsilon}\left(\chi_{k\overline{\jmath};zz}\chi_{i\overline{\ell};\overline{z}} + \chi_{k\overline{\jmath};z}\chi_{i\overline{\ell};\overline{z}z}\right)g^{\overline{z}z}dz$$

□

Lemma 7.15.

$$|\chi_{k\overline{\jmath};z}| \lesssim r^{-2a+1} \tag{37}$$

Proof. We know that

$$\partial\overline{\partial}\chi_{k\overline{\jmath}} = (\chi_{k\overline{\jmath}} - \mu_k\mu_{\overline{\jmath}})g.$$

Furthermore

$$\chi_{k\overline{\jmath}} - \mu_k\mu_{\overline{\jmath}}$$

is continuous since $\chi_{k\overline{\jmath}} \in H_2^p(X)$ and the continuity of $\mu_k\mu_{\overline{\jmath}}$ follows since $a > 1/2$. Now the argument involving the $\overline{z}$-anti-derivative again gives the claim. □

Proof of Lemma 7.14 . At this point, we assume that $k = i = j = \ell$. This case is sufficient, because the curvature formula will follow as usual from this case by polarization. We set $\chi = \chi_{k\overline{\jmath}}$ and $|\mu|^2 = \mu_i\mu_{\overline{\jmath}}$ for short. The integrand equals

$$\begin{aligned}\eta &:= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}(g^{\overline{z}z}\chi_{;z}\chi_{;\overline{z}})\\ &= g^{\overline{z}z}\left(\chi_{;z\overline{z}z}\chi_{\overline{z}} + \chi_{;z\overline{z}}\chi_{\overline{z}z} + \chi_{;zz}\chi_{;\overline{zz}} + \chi_{;z}\chi_{;\overline{zz}z}\right)\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}dz\wedge\overline{dz}.\end{aligned}$$

Now we use (13) i.e. (14) on the integrand and the following formula

$$\chi_{;\overline{zz}z} = \chi_{;\overline{z}z\overline{z}} - \chi_{;\overline{z}}R^{\overline{z}}_{\ \overline{z}\,\overline{z}z} = \chi_{;\overline{z}z\overline{z}} - g_{z\overline{z}}\chi_{;\overline{z}} = -g_{z\overline{z}}(|\mu|^2)_{;\overline{z}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\eta = \Big(&g_{z\overline{z}}(\chi - |\mu|^2)^2 + g^{\overline{z}z}\chi_{;zz}\chi_{;\overline{z}\,\overline{z}}\\ &+(\chi - |\mu|^2)_{;z}(\chi - |\mu|^2)_{;\overline{z}} - (|\mu|^2)_{;z}(|\mu|^2)_{;\overline{z}}\Big)\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}dz\wedge\overline{dz}\\ &\geq -(|\mu|^2)_{;z}(|\mu|^2)_{;\overline{z}}\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}dz\wedge\overline{dz}.\end{aligned}$$

Again we realize a harmonic Beltrami differential as a quotient of an anti-holomorphic quadratic differential with at most a single pole by the metric tensor and use again the analyticity property of Remark 2.1. This implies

$$|(|\mu|^2)_{;z}| \lesssim r^{4a-3}$$

so that

$$(|\mu|^2)_{;z}(|\mu|^2)_{;\overline{z}} \lesssim r^{8a-6}.$$

So for some $c, r_0 > 0$ and all $0 < |z| \le r_0$, we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\Big(g^{\overline{z}z}\chi_{;z}\chi_{;\overline{z}} - c\cdot r^{8a-4}\Big) \ge 0.$$

Observe that by our assumption $r^{8a-4} \to 0$ with $r \to 0$. We write $(\sqrt{-1}/2)\partial\overline{\partial}\tau$ for the above expression. In terms of polar coordinates $z = r\cdot exp(\sqrt{-1}\varphi)$ we set

$$\widetilde{\tau}(r) = \int_0^{2\pi} \tau(r,\varphi)d\varphi.$$

Hence for all $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ we have

$$0 \le \int_{\delta<|z|<\varepsilon} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\tau = \int_\delta^\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\widetilde{\tau}\right)dr = r\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\widetilde{\tau}\Big|_\delta^\varepsilon.$$

Up to a multiplicative constant the contribution of $-c\cdot r^{8a-4}$ to the integral amounts to

$$r^{8a-4}\Big|_\delta^\varepsilon,$$

which tends to zero with $\varepsilon, \delta \to 0$.

The monotonicity implies the existence of

$$\ell = \lim_{r\to 0} r\frac{\partial\widetilde{\tau}}{\partial r} \ge -\infty.$$

If we assume $\ell \le -c' < 0$, we see immediately that

$$\widetilde{\tau}(r) \ge c'' - c'\log r$$

for some real number c'' so that $\widetilde{\tau} \to \infty$ with $r \to 0$. On the other hand, it follows from (37) that

$$\chi_{;z}\chi_{;\overline{z}}g^{\overline{z}z} \lesssim r^{2-2a}.$$

So

$$\lim_{r\to 0} r\frac{\partial\widetilde{\tau}}{\partial r} \ge 0$$

is a finite number and

$$\lim_{\substack{\varepsilon\to 0\\ \delta\to 0\\ \varepsilon>\delta}} \int_{\delta<|z|<\varepsilon} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\left(\|\chi_{;z}\|^2\right) = \lim_{\substack{\varepsilon\to 0\\ \delta\to 0\\ \varepsilon>\delta}} \int_\delta^\varepsilon \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r\cdot\frac{\partial\tau}{\partial r}\right)rdr$$

$$= \lim_{\substack{\varepsilon\to 0\\ \delta\to 0\\ \varepsilon>\delta}} \left(r\cdot\frac{\partial\widetilde{\tau}}{\partial r}\right)\Big|_\delta^\varepsilon = 0.$$

□

References

[1] Ahlfors, L.: *Curvature properties of Teichmüller's space.* J. Analyse Math. **9** (1961/1962) 161–176.

[2] Aubin, T.: *Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry.* Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1998).

[3] Axelsson, R., Schumacher G. *Geometric approach to the Weil-Petersson symplectic form.* Math D.G. 0808.3741 v2, to appear in Comment. Math. Helvetici.

[4] Bismut, J.-M.; Gillet, H.; Soulé, Ch.: *Analytic torsion and holomorphic determinant bundles I, II, III.* Comm. Math. Phys. 115 (1988), 49–78, 79–126, 301–351.

[5] Do, N., Norbury P. *Weil-Petersson volumes and cone surfaces* Math A.G. 0603406

[6] Fischer, A.E.; Tromba, A.J.: *On the Weil-Petersson metric on Teichmüller space.* Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **284** (1984) 319–335.

[7] Fujiki, A., Schumacher, G.: *The moduli space of extremal compact Kähler manifolds and generalized Weil-Petersson metrics.* Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **26** (1990) 101–183.

[8] Hassett, B.: *Moduli space of weighted pointed stable curves.* Adv. math. **173** (2003) 316-352.

[9] Heins, M.: *On a class of conformal metrics.* Nagoya Math. J. **21** (1962) 1–60.

[10] Hulin, D., Troyanov, M.: *Prescribing curvature on open surfaces.* Math. Ann. **293** (1992) 277–315.

[11] Klimek, M.: *Pluripotential theory.* London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 6. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, (1991).

[12] Kazdan, J., Warner, F. *Curvature functions for 2-manifolds with negative Euler characteristic.* Bull. AMS **78** (1971) 570–574.

[13] Kazdan, J., Warner, F.: *Curvature functions for compact two manifolds.* Ann. Math. **99** (1974) 203–219.

[14] Kazdan, J., Warner, F. *A direct approach to the determination of Gaussian and scalar curvature functions.* Invent. math. **28** (1975) 227–230.

[15] Masur, H.: *Extension of the Weil-Petersson metric to the boundary of Teichmüller space.* Duke Math. J. **43** (1976) 623–635.

[16] McOwen, R.: *Point singularity and conformal metrics on Riemann surfaces.* Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **103** (1988) 222–224.

[17] McOwen, R.: *Prescribed curvature and singularities of conformal metrics on Riemann surfaces.* J. Math. Analysis. Appl. **177** (1993) 287–298.

[18] Mirzakhani, M.: *Simple geodesics and Weil-Petersson volumes of moduli spaces of bordered Riemann surfaces.* Invent. Math. **167** (2007) 179–222.

[19] Mirzakhani, M.: *Weil-Petersson volumes and intersection theory on the moduli space of curves.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (2007) 1–23.

[20] Quillen, D.: *Determinants of Cauchy-Riemann operators on a Riemann surface.* Funct. Anal. Appl. **19** 31–34 (1985); translation from Funkts. Anal. Prilozh. **19** 37–41 (1985).

[21] Royden; H.L.: *Intrinsic metrics on Teichmüller space.* Proc. Int. Cong. Math. **2** (1974) 217–221

[22] Schumacher, G.: *The theory of Teichmüller spaces, A view towards moduli spaces of Kähler manifolds.* Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences W. Barth, R. Narasimhan (Eds.) Several complex variables VI, volume 69 Springer-Verlag (1990).

[23] Schumacher, G.: *The curvature of the Petersson-Weil metric on the moduli space of Kähler-Einstein manifolds.* Complex analysis and geometry, 339–354, Univ. Ser. Math., Plenum, New York, (1993).

[24] Schumacher, G., Trapani, S. *Variation of cone metrics on Riemann surfaces.* J. Math. Anal.Appl. **311** (2005) 218–230.

[25] Siu, Y.T.: *Curvature of the Weil-Petersson metric in the moduli space of compact Kähler.-Einstein manifolds of negative first Chern class.* Contributions to several complex variables, 261–298, Aspects Math., 9, Vieweg, Braunschweig, (1986).

[26] Zograf, P.G., Takhtajan, L.A.: *A local index theorem for families of $\overline{\partial}$-operators on Riemann surfaces.* Usp. Mat. Nauk **42**, 133–150 (1987), and Russ. Math. Surv.˙**42** (1987) 169–190.

[27] Zograf, P., Takhtajan, L.: *Hyperbolic 2-spheres with conical singularities, accessory parameters and Kähler metrics on $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003) 1857–1867.

[28] Tan, S.-P., Wong, Y.-L., Zhang, Y.: *Generalizations of McShane's identity to hyperbolic cone-surfaces.* J. Differential Geom. **72** (2006) 73–112.

[29] Tromba A.J.: *On a natural affine connection on the space of almost complex structures and the curvature of the Teichmüller space with respect to its Weil-Petersson metric.* Man. Math. **56** (1986) 475–497.

[30] Troyanov, M.: *Prescribing curvature on compact surfaces with conical singularities.* Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **324** (1991) 793–821.

[31] Troyanov, M.: *Les surfaces Euclidiennes à singularités coniques.* Ens. Math. **32** (1986) 79–94.

[32] Wolpert, S.: *Chern forms and the Riemann tensor for the moduli space of curves.* Invent. Math. **85** (1986) 119–145.

[33] Wolpert, S.: *Geometry of the Weil-Petersson completion of Teichmüller space.* Surveys in differential geometry, Vol. VIII (Boston, MA, 2002), 357–393, Surv. Differ. Geom., VIII, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2003.

Georg Schumacher
Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik der Philipps-Universität,
Hans-Meerwein-Strasse, Lahnberge,
D-35032 Marburg, Germany
`schumac@mathematik.uni-marburg.de`

Stefano Trapani
Dipartimento di Matematica, Universitá di Roma Tor Vergata
Via della Ricerca Scientifica, I-00133 Roma Italy
`trapani@mat.uniroma2.it`